UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,187	10/577,187 05/07/2007 Marc-Michael Meine		11150/93	4067
26646 KENYON & K	7590 05/15/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	BRAINARD, TIMOTHY A		
NEW YORK, N	N1 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		10/57	7,187	MEINECKE ET A	L.		
		Exami	ner	Art Unit			
		TIMO	HY A. BRAINARD	3662			
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress		
WHICHEVER I  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON'  - If NO period for re  - Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD F S LONGER, FROM THE M may be available under the provision THS from the mailing date of this com ply is specified above, the maximum s hin the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICA o event, however, may a rep nd will expire SIX (6) MONTH application to become ABAR	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this on the mailing date of th			
Status							
2a)⊠ This action 3)□ Since this	ive to communication(s) file on is <b>FINAL</b> .  In a sapplication is in condition accordance with the pract	2b)∏ This action for allowance exc	s non-final. ept for formal matter	•	e merits is		
Disposition of Cla	iims						
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s)  Application Paper 9) ☐ The speci	26-52 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed.  26-52 is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restricts  ification is objected to by thing(s) filed on 25 April 200	are withdrawn from ction and/or election	n requirement.	ed to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
	erson's Patent Drawing Review ( osure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/l	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 3662

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. US Pub 2003/0179128 is used as an English translation for WO 0231529.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 26, 28-30 and 40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being described by Voles 6888492. Voles teaches (claim 26 and 40) a measuring device for measuring a distance between the measuring device and at least one object and measuring a speed difference between the measuring device and the at least one object (abs and col 1, lines 10-25), comprising: an emission device adapted to send a transmission signal that includes at least two signal portion sequences, each of a first signal portion sequence and a second signal portion sequence including at least two temporally alternating signal portions, at least two signal portions of a signal portion sequence differing in frequency by one differential frequency, wherein the differential frequency of the first signal portion sequence differing from the differential frequency of the second signal portion sequence (fig 1 and col 1, lines 10-48), (claim 28 and 41) a reception device adapted to receive a reflection signal of the transmission signal reflected by the at least one object (abs), (claim 29 and 42) a mixer adapted to mix the

Art Unit: 3662

first signal portion sequence with a portion of the first signal portion sequence of the reflection signal reflected by the at least one object to form a first mixed signal (fig 6, item 17), (claim 30 and 43) an evaluation device adapted to ascertain frequencies of the first mixed signal (col 5, lines 58-68).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 27, 31-39, and 44-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voles as applied to claim 26 above, and further in view of Mende et al (WO 0231529). Mende teaches (claim 27 and 52) the measuring device is adapted to be arranged in a motor vehicle (para 6), (claim 31 and 44) the evaluation device is adapted to determine the distance between the measuring device and the at least one object as a function of the frequencies of the first mixed signal (para 15), claim 32 and 45) the evaluation device is adapted to determine the speed difference between the measuring device and the at least one object as a function of frequencies of the first mixed signal (abs and para 15), (claim 33) the mixer is adapted to mix the second signal portion sequence with a portion of the second signal portion sequence of the reflection signal reflected by the at least one object to form a second mixed signal (par a15 and 16), (claim 34 and 45) the evaluation device is adapted to ascertain the one frequencies of the second mixed signal (para 16), (claim 35 and 47) the evaluation device is

Art Unit: 3662

adapted to determine the distance between the measuring device and the at least one object as a function of the one of the measured frequency and (b) the frequencies of the first mixed signal and of a dominating frequency of the second mixed signal (para 16 and 17), (claim 36 and 48) the evaluation device is adapted to determine the speed difference between the measuring device and the at least one object as a function of the one of the measured frequency and the frequencies of the first mixed signal and of the one of the measured frequency and the frequencies of the second mixed signal (para 16 and 17), (claim 37 and 49) the evaluation device is adapted to determine a difference between a phase of the first mixed signal and a phase of the second mixed signal (abs), (claim 38 and 50) the evaluation device is adapted to determine the distance between the measuring device and the at least one object as a function of the difference between the phase of the first mixed signal and the phase of the second mixed signal, (claim 39 and 51) the evaluation device is adapted to determine the speed difference between the measuring device and the at least one object as a function of the difference between the phase of the first mixed signal and the phase of the second mixed signal (para 16-18). It would have been obvious to modify **Voles** to include the evaluation device is adapted to determine the distance between the measuring device and the at least one object as a function of the frequencies of the first mixed signal, the evaluation device is adapted to determine the speed difference between the measuring device and the at least one object as a function of frequencies of the first mixed signal, the mixer is adapted to mix the second signal portion sequence with a portion of the second signal portion sequence of the reflection signal reflected by the at least one object to form a second

Application/Control Number: 10/577,187

Art Unit: 3662

mixed signal, the evaluation device is adapted to ascertain the one frequencies of the second mixed signal, the evaluation device is adapted to determine the distance between the measuring device and the at least one object as a function of the one of the measured frequency and (b) the frequencies of the first mixed signal and of a dominating frequency of the second mixed signal, the evaluation device is adapted to determine the speed difference between the measuring device and the at least one object as a function of the one of the measured frequency and the frequencies of the first mixed signal and of the one of the measured frequency and the frequencies of the second mixed signal, the evaluation device is adapted to determine a difference between a phase of the first mixed signal and a phase of the second mixed signal, the evaluation device is adapted to determine the distance between the measuring device and the at least one object as a function of the difference between the phase of the first mixed signal and the phase of the second mixed signal, the evaluation device is adapted to determine the speed difference between the measuring device and the at least one object as a function of the difference between the phase of the first mixed signal and the phase of the second mixed signal because each is one of multiple method of determining the distance and velocity of an object with no new or unexpected results. It would have been obvious to modify Voles to include the measuring device is adapted to be arranged in a motor vehicle because it is one of multiple applications of a well know system with no new or unexpected results.

Page 5

## Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 3662

4. Applicant's arguments filed 2/20/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Voles does not identically disclose or even suggest the differential frequency of the first signal portion sequence differing from the differential frequency of the second signal portion sequence.

5. Response: Col 5, lines 1-50 teaches sending 4 frequency monopulses, when sending the first monopulse and the fourth monopulse followed by the second and third monopulse the differential frequency of the first signal portion sequence differs from the differential frequency of the second signal portion sequence.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY A. BRAINARD whose telephone number is (571) 272-2132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. A. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3662

/Thomas H. Tarcza/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3662